

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,765	02/21/2007	Gerhard Andrees	011235.57416US	3334
23911 CROWELL &	9911 7590 11/12/2008 EXAMINI ROWELL & MORING LLP		IINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300		KESSLER, CHRISTOPHER S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/572,765	ANDREES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER KESSLER	1793	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event however, may a reply be timely filled ### OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVISION OF THE	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2007.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 21 March 2006.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Responsive to the preliminary amendment, claims 1-5 are cancelled, and claims 6-14 are added. Claims 6-14 are currently under examination.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,396,445 issued to Sasaki et al. (hereinafter "Sasaki").

Regarding claim 11, Sasaki teaches the invention as claimed. Sasaki teaches a method of manufacturing turbine components (see abstract). Sasaki teaches that a rotor component is injection molded, then is sintered and sinter bonded to another component in order to make the component (see col. 3). Sasaki teaches wherein the sintering is "completely or incompletely" (see col. 3), and wherein the materials are made smaller and into elaborate shapes (see col. 4) meeting the limitation of debinding the green part and wherein the molded articles are shrunk by sintering to have a desired geometric property. Sasaki teaches that the parts to be joined are brought into contact, and are joined during sintering (see col. 3). Sasaki teaches that the assembly is

Application/Control Number: 10/572,765

Art Unit: 1793

secured with a threaded nut (see col. 4 and Fig. 40, meetign the limitation of applying pressure to the molded articles during the sintering process.

Regarding claim 12, Sasaki teaches wherein the sintering is "completely or incompletely" (see col. 3), meeting the limitation wherein the first and second molded articles are reduced in size.

Regarding claim 14, Sasaki teaches that the molded articles are brought into form-fitting contact (see cols. 3-4 and Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki

Regarding claim 6, Sasaki teaches the invention essentially as claimed. Sasaki teaches a method of manufacturing turbine components (see abstract). Sasaki teaches that a rotor component is injection molded, then is sintered and sinter bonded to another component in order to make the component (see col. 3). Sasaki teaches wherein the sintering is "completely or incompletely" (see col. 3), and wherein the materials are made smaller and into elaborate shapes (see col. 4) meeting the limitation of debinding the green part and wherein the molded articles are shrunk by sintering to have a desired

Application/Control Number: 10/572,765

Art Unit: 1793

geometric property. Sasaki teaches that the parts to be joined are brought into contact, and are joined during sintering (see col. 3). Sasaki teaches that the assembly is secured with a threaded nut (see col. 4 and Fig. 4), meeting the limitation of applying pressure to the molded articles during the sintering process.

Sasaki does not teach wherein the method is used to create components of an aircraft engine. Sasaki teaches manufacturing a radial flow gas turbine rotor unit that may be used for a turbocharger (see col. 1, col. 4 and Figs. 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of invention to have applied the method to making a gas turbine for a turbocharger of an aircraft engine. The Examiner takes Official notice that radial flow turbochargers for aircraft engines are well known in the art and would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art of turbine manufacture. Applicant is further directed to MPEP 2144.03.

Regarding claim 7, Sasaki teaches wherein a slip is applied to the surface to be loined (see col. 3), meeting the limitation of applying a coating.

Regarding claim 8, Sasaki teaches wherein a slip is applied to the surface to be joined (see col. 3).

Regarding claim 9, Sasaki teaches wherein the shaft is pre-sintered, and the rotor is green (see col. 4 and Fig. 4), meeting the limitations of wherein one component having greater shrinkage rate is sintered onto the other having lower shrinkage rate.

Regarding claim 10, Sasaki teaches wherein the components formed comprise a rotor having integral blading (see Figs. 1-4).

Art Unit: 1793

Regarding claim 13, Sasaki does not teach wherein the components are brought into contact during the debinding process. Sasaki only teaches that the components are brought into contact after sintering "completely or incompletely" (see col. 3).

However, the rearrangement of the order of steps would not materially affect the results of the process. *In re Burhans*, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946) (selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results). In the instant case, the process is materially the same whether the components are brought into contact during the debinding process or after sintering "completely or incompletely" as taught by Sasaki (see col. 3).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER KESSLER whose telephone number is (571)272-6510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,765 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

csk